

PHIL 341: Contemporary Moral Issues, Fall 2012

Robert Streiffer

A. General Course Description

After a brief introduction to ethical theory and ethical reasoning, we will examine in detail several areas of contemporary moral controversy: just war theory; commercial surrogate motherhood; animal use; abortion; and modern biotechnology. The course combines large-class lectures three times a week with small group discussions once a week. Lecture format will include straight lectures, Socratic exchanges, and PowerPoint presentations; active participation is always encouraged. Small group discussions will be a chance for students to debate the material with their classmates, and to reflect on how the theories discussed in class relate to current events. Written work for the class consists of four argumentative papers. Lecture and discussion section attendance is required and discussion section attendance and participation is graded.

B. Administrative Information

Instructor: Professor Robert Streiffer

Lectures: M, W, F, 1121 Humanities, 12:05-12:55

Philosophy Office: 5101 Helen C. White Hall; 263-9479

Bioethics Office: 1411 Medical Sciences Center; 262-7490

Office Hours: Wednesday 1:15-2:30 in my philosophy office, and by appointment

E – Mail: rstreiffer@wisc.edu, Home page: <http://philosophy.wisc.edu/streiffer/>

Teaching Assistant: Brian McLoone

Office: 5142 Helen C. White

Office Hours: Tu 11:00-12:00, W 10:00-11:00, and by appointment

E-mail: brianbmcloone@gmail.com

Discussion Sections:

DIS 310	1:20-2:10	Thursday	Hum 2619
DIS 311	2:25-3:15	Thursday	Hum 2121
DIS 312	9:55-10:45	Friday	Education L185
DIS 313	8:50-9:40	Friday	Education L177

C. Materials (Books are available form the UW Bookstore)

- *Just and Unjust Wars*, by Michael Walzer. New York: Basic Books. 1977.
- *Improving Nature: The Science and Ethics of Genetic Engineering*, by Michael J. Reiss and Roger Straughan. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- There will be a reader available from Bob's Copy Shop (616 University) on the east end of campus between Lake and Frances. I will announce when the reader becomes available.

D. Learning Objectives

The course has three general goals:

1. To improve your familiarity with the facts, concepts, theories, and arguments from the relevant empirical, ethical, and philosophical literature.
2. To improve your ability to think about and discuss the ethical issues in these areas.
3. To improve your ability to take an ethical argument, recast it in valid argument form, and critically evaluate it.

E. Requirements

1. Read all of the readings for a day before that day's lecture. Since the readings form the basis of the intellectual content of this course, read them carefully and read them critically. Although the average amount of reading per class is not very much, many of the readings are quite dense and will require that you read them more than once in order to fully understand the arguments. Current scholarship on study skills suggests that most students should spend three hours outside of class studying for every hour of class, and that students who do well spend even more time.
2. Read the news articles passed out in class. These will give you opportunities to reflect on the relevance of the readings and the lectures to recent or current events. They will also provide additional topics for discussion during the discussion sections.
3. Attend all of the lectures. My lecture style includes many opportunities for students to respond to the arguments under discussion, and you will find that being an active listener and participant will greatly increase the amount you learn from this class. Current scholarship on study skills strongly suggests that students who actively listen and respond to lectures learn much more from them.
4. Attend and participate in all the discussion sections. You will be graded for both discussion section attendance and participation.
5. Write four argumentative papers. The word count for the first paper is 550-650 words (2 pages). The word count for the second, third, and fourth paper is 1400-1600 words (5 pages). See the class schedule for dates.

F. Grading Plan:

The breakdown for grades is as follows:

Discussion section attendance	7.5%
Discussion section participation	7.5%
Paper 1 (550-650)	15%
Paper 2 (1400-1600)	20%
Paper 3 (1400-1600)	25%
Paper 4 (1400-1600)	25%

I use the following grading scale, with your final grade rounded to the nearest letter grade:

Letter Grade	Numerical Equivalent
A	4
AB	3.5
B	3
BC	2.5
C	2
D	1
F	0

1. Attendance: The TA will be taking attendance for the discussion sections. Excused absences for reasons other than an emergency must be cleared by the TA in advance of the class missed. For all excused absences, you must send the TA an e-mail stating the date and the reason so that he will have a record of it when it comes time to calculate your final grade. Any unexcused absences will affect your attendance grade for discussion as follows:

1 absence	AB
2 absences	B
3 absences	BC
4 absences	C
5 absences	D
6 absences	F

2. Discussion section participation: The TA will grade your participation both in terms of quality and quantity:

Excellent participation	A
Good participation	B
Poor participation	C
No participation	F

3. Papers: You must hand in all of the papers as complete papers in order to pass this course. You may not elect to opt out of a paper and receive an F on it. Papers are due at the beginning of class on the due date. Papers handed in during class but after the beginning of class will be bumped to the next letter grade or half-letter grade down (e.g., from an A to an AB, from a C to a D.) After that, the penalty is one full letter grade per 24 hours. Any non-emergency extensions must be requested prior to the due date, and will be granted only in rare circumstances. I will fail any papers not in compliance with the UW rules governing academic misconduct, found at <http://www.wisc.edu/students/resources/misconduct.htm>. The UW Writing Center also has helpful guidelines on avoiding plagiarism at http://www.wisc.edu/writing/Handbook/QPA_plagiarism.html. Ignorance of what counts as plagiarism is not an acceptable defense. More severe penalties may also be used, if appropriate. Although you are encouraged to discuss your papers with friends and classmates, no group work is allowed.

In a philosophy class, your grade is not determined by the details of the substantive view you defend (i.e., you won't get penalized for disagreeing with my views or the author's conclusions); rather, you will be graded on how well you articulate and defend your view and how well you relate relevant parts of the readings and lectures to the paper topic.

G. Additional Class Policies

Academic misconduct: Please note that the imposition of any penalty for any kind of academic misconduct (e.g., plagiarism, trying to get credit for a class you didn't attend, cheating on an exam, etc.) results in a permanent note that goes into your academic file, and that UW will disclose the fact that you were penalized for academic misconduct to interested parties who request that information. See <http://www.wisc.edu/students/resources/misconduct.htm> for UW's policy. Because you are responsible for proper acknowledgement and citation of other people's ideas, even unintentional plagiarism counts as academic misconduct for the purposes of this course.

Classroom Etiquette: You are expected to behave in ways that are appropriate and respectful to the professor, the TA, and the other students. This includes, but is not limited to

1. Arriving on time. Students who walk into the classroom late create a distraction.
2. Refraining from private conversations with classmates during lecture or discussion.
3. Being patient and courteous to other students when they ask a question or make a comment.
4. Expressing disagreement with the comments of others in a respectful manner.
5. Removing sunglasses and hats.
6. Staying awake.
7. Refraining from reading any non-course-related material.
8. Refraining from packing up until class is completely over.
9. Turning beepers or cell phones off or, for those with dependents, to vibrate, when entering class.
10. Refraining from using laptops for anything other than note-taking. I reserve the right to restrict the use of laptops in class if I believe they are creating a distracting learning environment.

H. Outside Resources for Help

The Writing Center has several classes and numerous handouts on academic writing. They will also provide individual writing instruction. Appointments can be made by stopping in at 6171 Helen C. White or

calling 263-1992. Their web site is www.wisc.edu/writing. They can be much more effective if you approach them early in the writing process.

Study Skills: UW, as well as many other universities, have on-line materials available on how to improve your study skills as an undergraduate, and I encourage you to take a look at the URLs below and try to benefit from them.

<http://guts.studentorg.wisc.edu/SS/sshome.htm>

http://www.stanford.edu/dept/undergrad/uac/resources/study_skills.html.

Guides to reading philosophy can be found at:

<http://www.princeton.edu/~jimpryor/general/reading.html>

<http://commhum.mccneb.edu/argument/summary.htm>

An excellent introductory book to writing argumentative papers is *A Rulebook for Arguments*, by Anthony Weston. An excellent introduction to writing style is *The Elements of Style* by Strunk and White. An on-line version of an early edition can be accessed at <http://www.bartleby.com/141/>.